Mr. George A. Castro, II, Chairman
Commissioners & Members
City of Elizabeth Zoning Board of Adjustment
50 Winfield Scott Plaza
Elizabeth, NJ 07201

Re: Spring Street Development Corp.
703 & R 703-727 Spring Street
Application No. Z-05-19
City of Elizabeth, NJ

Dear Chairman & Members of the Board:

Our office is in receipt of an application by Spring Street Development Corp. for a use variance with preliminary and final site plan and bulk variances approval for the subject property located at 703 and Rear 703-727 Spring Street (Routes 1&9) and contains a total of 4.67 acres. The property has a flag lot configuration with access to Spring Street by way of two driveways. The existing strip mall on the adjoining property to the west separates the subject property from Spring Street. The property presently contains multiple commercial buildings with a total square footage of approximately 64,000 square feet. The application indicates that the property has been previously utilized for auto auction sales. The existing buildings may contain other businesses, however they are not identified on the plans.

Based on a site inspection of the property, it was found to have numerous cargo vans parked throughout the property. The vans were identified as Amazon Prime, Enterprise Rental, Budget Rental, U-Haul Rental and vans with no markings. This office is not aware of any previous approvals to allow for the use of the property for parking, storage or rental of vehicles.

The proposed use for the site is for logistics operations by Amazon Logistics. The specifics of the operations is not clear, however it appears that the site will be used for storage of delivery vans to be picked-up in the morning and returned at the end of the business day. The drivers will leave their personal vehicles at the site. It is also not clear if the existing business are to remain and the type of business currently occupying the site are not indicated. The plans indicate that approximately 28,000 square feet of the existing building will be removed, leaving a remainder of approximately 36,000 square feet. Based on our site inspection the building has already been removed. The area is proposed to paved and converted to parking.

We have reviewed the plan and application and we offer the following comments:
Zoning Comments:

1. The subject property is located in the HC Highway Commercial Zone and the MRC Manufacturing, Research & Commercial Zone. The majority of the property is located in the MRC Zone. Logistics operations are not a permitted use in either zone, therefore a use variance is being requested.

2. The Applicant shall provide testimony with respect to the current use of the property and any approvals to allow for the current uses.

3. The following bulk variances are being requested:

   **HC Highway Commercial Zone:**
   a. The required lot width is 100', whereas 11.35' is proposed.
   b. The minimum required open space is 20%, whereas 0% is proposed.
   c. The maximum permitted impervious coverage is 80%, whereas 100% is proposed.
   d. The minimum required lot area is 10,000 square feet, whereas 7,091 square feet is proposed.

   **MRC Manufacturing, Research & Commercial Zone:**
   a. The required lot frontage is 150', whereas 37.56' is proposed.
   b. The maximum permitted impervious coverage is 75%, whereas 94.8% is proposed.

4. The following design waivers are required:
   a. Under Section 17.32.060.C.3 of the City Code, the required setback from a parking area to a side or rear property line is 3', whereas 0' is proposed.
   b. Under Section 17.32.D of the City Code, a ten foot safety island is required between the end of a parking bay and any driveway aisle, whereas no safety islands are proposed.

General Comments:

1. The applicant shall provide testimony in support of each variance being requested.

2. The Applicant shall provide testimony as to the operation of the proposed facility, including hours of operation, number of employees, etc.

3. A survey prepared by a licensed land surveyor shall be provided.

4. Landscaping is proposed consisting of 22 Abortive evergreens and 8 Boxwood shrubs. Additional landscaping should be provided.
5. No signs are depicted on the plans. Any new signage shall comply with the City Code or the Applicant will be required to return to the Board for additional variances.

6. The purpose of the river stone along the perimeter of the parking area shall be clarified.

7. The Remedial Capping Plan indicates areas of concern (AOC), containing Chromium and PCB’s. The remedial work will be performed under the direction of an LSRP in accordance with NJDEP rules and regulations.

8. The existing building is in poor condition. It is recommended that the Applicant propose repairs and alterations to make the building more aesthetically pleasing.

9. See attached memorandum prepared by HCI, dated 9/5/19 for stormwater management comments.

10. See attached letter from Hamal Associates, dated September 4, 2019, for traffic comments. Comments provided raise concerns that the proposed project will have a negative impact on the surrounding area. Based on our inspection of the site, there are a large number of cargo vans that are parked in an unorganized configuration.

11. Parking is based on lot area in the MRC Zone, however in the case of a use variance request the Applicant must provide sufficient proof that adequate parking is being provided. The number of vans to be parked on the site has not been provided, therefore it is not possible to determine if sufficient parking will be available for the building occupants.

12. The site shall meet the requirements of the American with Disabilities Act and the New Jersey Barrier Free Code.

13. The Applicant shall comply with all directives of the City of Elizabeth Fire Official.

14. The Applicant shall comply with the comments of the City Engineer, dated 5/13/19.

15. The applicant shall file with the Board and Construction Official copies of all necessary agency approvals other than municipal agencies having land use jurisdiction over the application.

16. Prior to issuance of building permits, compliance with all conditions of approval indicated in the resolution shall be verified by the Board Engineer.

17. Subsequent to resolution compliance, an electronic copy of the approved drawings shall be provided to the Board Engineer. The file format may be AutoCAD, PDF, JPEG, or TIF.
18. The Applicant shall arrange a pre-construction meeting with the Board Engineer and Construction Official at least one week prior to start of construction.

19. An engineer’s estimate for site improvements must be submitted prior to signature by the Board Chairperson.

20. The Applicant must post performance guarantees and inspection fees with the City of Elizabeth prior to beginning of any on-site construction activities.

Documents Reviewed:

1. Plans entitled “Preliminary and Final Site Plan, 703-727 Spring Street Capping Plan, Tenant: Amazon Logistics, 703-727 Spring Street, City of Elizabeth, Union County, New Jersey, Block 8, Lot 1699.D”, prepared by Jarmel Kizel Architects and Engineless Inc., last revised 7/26/19, consisting of 9 sheets.

2. Plans entitled “Spring Street Development Corp. Remedial Capping Plan, Prepared for The Elm Group, December 2018, Block 8, Lots 1699.D, City of Elizabeth, Union County, New Jersey”, prepared by The Elm Group, last revised 1/30/19, consisting of 8 sheets.


The above comments presented in this letter are for the consideration of the Board Members, the Applicant and the Applicants Engineer. If questions arise from these parties, please contact this office for further discussion.

Very truly yours,

Anthony G. Gallerano, PE, PP
Harbor Consultants, Inc.
Based on the review of the site plans entitled “Preliminary and Final Site Plan, 703-727 Spring Street Capping Plan, Tenant: Amazon Logistics, 703-727 Spring Street, City of Elizabeth, Union county, New Jersey, Block 8, Lot 1699.D”, prepared by Jarmel Kizel Architects and Engineless Inc., dated 2/21/19, last revised 7/26/19”; the site plans entitled “Spring Street Development Corp. Remedial Capping Plan, Prepared for The Elm Group, December 2018, Block 8, Lots 1699.D, City of Elizabeth, Union County, New Jersey”, prepared by The Elm Group, last revised 1/30/19; and the Stormwater Management Analysis, Prepared For; The ELM Group, Inc., Prepared By: Frey Engineering, LLC”, dated 8/4/17, last revised 01/28/19, the following comments should be addressed:

1. Section II.b. - Preliminary Site Investigation of the Stormwater Management report, refers to a topographic survey provided by Barry Isett and Associates, dated June 2015 that has not been submitted. Copy of the survey should be provided.

2. Section II.f. - Preliminary Site Investigation of the Stormwater Management report indicates that the current revisions are based upon a compilation of revisions from the SSDC Consultants, dated 11/30/18. However, these revisions have not been submitted. The compilation of revisions should be provided.

3. A boundary and topographic survey reflecting the current site conditions should be submitted.

4. The inlets and manholes located along the south property line should include the storm sewer pipes.
5. All storm sewer pipes, materials, sizes, inverts, lengths and slopes should be shown for both the existing and proposed storm sewer system, including the offsite drainage system. The grading & drainage plan, and the existing conditions plan should be revised accordingly.

6. Based on the information provided in the stormwater management report, runoff from most of the site is tributary to the drainage system on Woodruff Lane. The existing conditions plan, and the grading & drainage plan should be expended to include Woodruff Lane and all relevant information.

7. No information has been provided for the existing drainage system downstream of proposed discharge points. The offsite downstream drainage systems should be added to the existing conditions and grading & drainage plans. All existing and proposed underground utilities and drainage system on Spring Street, Woodruff Lane and on site should be clearly shown on plans.

8. The drainage report incorrectly combines the peak runoff rates for the different discharge points. In order to demonstrate compliance with the stormwater quantity control requirements at N.J.A.C 7:8-5, the applicant must demonstrate that the requirements are meet separately for each discharge point. The calculations should be revised accordingly.

9. The required peak flow reductions should be based on the allowable peak flows from the predevelopment calculations. Table 5 and the calculations should be revised accordingly.

10. The storm drainage calculations for the 2, 10, 25 and 100-year storms should be based on the rainfall amounts of 3.39, 5.17, 6.42 and 8.69 as per the latest New Jersey 24 hours rainfall frequency data from NRCS. The calculations should be revised accordingly.

11. The existing site coverage conditions Table should be added to the stormwater management report. Only the proposed site coverage conditions (table 4) has been provided.

12. Spot elevations should be added within the existing barrier located near the north property line to verify drainage areas OS-2 and E-4.

13. The conditions of the existing PVC drainage pipes are unknown. The ‘n’ value used for the existing pipe listing shown on page 5 of the Hydro CAD report should be 0.013.

14. A Manning’s roughness coefficient ‘n’ of 0.012 should be used for all proposed HDPE pipes. The stormwater calculations should be revised accordingly.

15. The Hydro CAD diagrams for the existing and proposed pipe listing should be added to the stormwater management report.

16. The drainage calculations should be revised to include a diagram or plan showing the tributary drainage areas to each pond. The ponds should also be included. All existing and proposed routing diagrams should be added to the stormwater management report.

17. Additional spot elevations and contours should be provided offsite around the site to properly evaluate the existing and proposed discharge points of analysis.
18. The minimum time of concentration used in the hydrograph calculations should be 10 minutes.

19. All water quality peak flows calculations are 0.00 cfs. The NJDEP cumulative and incremental rainfall distribution for the water quality storm should be used. The water quality rainfall distribution should be added to the report.

20. The required TSS removal rate should be included in the drainage calculations.

21. Existing and proposed land cover drainage area maps should be added to the stormwater management report. The maps should clearly delineate the impervious and pervious areas.

22. The drainage area OS-4 shown on the drainage report doesn’t match with the drainage area on the pre-drainage area map. The drainage calculations and drainage plan should be revised accordingly.

23. Based on the information shown on the existing conditions plan, it appears that portion of existing drainage area O-3 is tributary to drainage area E-6. Additional spot elevations should be added in order to verify the drainage areas limits.

24. Clarify why the existing storm sewer pipes and structures have been used as detention basins in the hydrologic calculations.

25. The peak flows for the 25-year storm conduits calculations should be provided using the Rational Method. In addition, a report should be added in DOT format (inv., elev., depth of flow, HGL, EGI, design velocity, cover, etc.) by using hydrograph software or equivalent. The report should also include the pipe profiles with the EGL & HGL shown.

26. The inlet drainage plan should be added to the drainage report.

27. The pre-development and post-development drainage areas plans should clearly delineate the drainage areas and each point of discharge.

28. The information used for the outlet devices of Ponds IS, 2S, 3S, 4S and 5S, 14 on the drainage report have not been shown on the plans. It is not clear how this information was obtained. The plans and report should be revised accordingly.

29. The information of the outlet devices of Pond I1 and I5 on the drainage report don’t match with the existing conditions plan. The plans and drainage report should be revised for consistency.

30. Existing inlet I-2 shows a portion of a 6" PVC inflow pipe. Additional information is required concerning the 6" PVC and possible additional tributary drainage area.

31. Clarify if the secondary devices shown on the Summary Ponds for the existing conditions calculations are in accordance with the existing inlets grates on the property.
32. The hydrologic calculations have been performed using smaller sub-catchment areas that are tributary to the same point of analysis (i.e. existing drainage areas E-1 thru E-7 are tributary to the existing drainage system living the property at the southwest corner of the site. To facilitate the review, a section should be added to the drainage report describing each drainage area in detail, including the land cover and discharge point of analysis.

33. The ladder rung detail for the sanitary and storm structures should be provided.

34. Details should be provided for all proposed monument and wall mounted signs.

35. The location of proposed trash/enclosure should be added to the plans.

36. A note should be added to the plans indicating that all improvements are to be made in compliance with 2010 ADA Standards, etc al.

37. Provide a note on the plans indicating that all traffic signage and stripping shall be in accordance with the latest edition of MUTCD.

38. The line of sight distances shall be depicted on the site plans in accordance with the current edition of AASHTO’s policy on geometric design of highways and streets.

39. The applicant should provide a truck & vehicle turning circulation exhibits to verify that the on-site circulation is adequate for the required service and emergency vehicles access throughout the site.

40. The location of no parking zones for firefighting operations should be provided, including the construction details.

41. The limits of proposed pavement restoration, curbs and restriping along public roads should be shown on the plans.

42. Approval should be obtained from the Fire Official regarding the required fire lanes, markings, signage, striping and access for fire apparatus.

43. Calculations should be submitted to demonstrate that the existing waterline is suitable for the proposed domestic and fire flow volumes and pressures.

44. Provide domestic and fire flow water distribution system calculations. A minimum of 20 psi of residual pressure should be available for firefighting. Hydrants flow testing results should be submitted to confirm available fire flow (AFF).

45. Sanitary sewer and water demand calculations should be provided for the proposed project.

46. Concrete encasement should be provided for all utility crossings of less than 18”. The location of all proposed utility crossings and concrete encasements should be shown on the plans and profiles. The applicant should provide a table format for water mains/utility crossings, including lateral crossings, with corresponding clearances to reflect the avoidance of conflicts with other underground utilities.
47. The utility crossing detail should be added to the plans. A note should also be included indicating that water mains crossing storm sewers or drains where the clearance between the pipes is less than eighteen inches (18”), pier supports for the storm sewer shall be provided in order to prevent the load transfer to the affected utility.

48. A note should be added to the plans indicating that all constructions shall comply with the current rules and regulations or ordinances of the City of Elizabeth, NJDEP and all applicable regulatory agencies having jurisdiction.

49. A note should be added to the plans indicating that any existing curbs or other objects damaged during construction shall be repaired or replaced to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and NJDOT if required.

50. The utility pole to remain shown on Sheet C-300 located along the edge of the driveway, near the no parking loading zone striping, should be relocated to the south of the striping space.

51. Additional dimensions should be added on Sheet C-300 for handicap parking spaces, accessible aisles, striping spaces, loading ramp, the two-way and one-way driveways and curb cuts on Spring Street and curb radii.

52. Spot elevations should be added to all proposed handicap parking spaces, ramps and landing areas to verify conformance with ADA requirements.

53. In order to facilitate the review of the proposed grading, the grading plan should include slopes with arrows within the overall area.

54. Less than 1% slope has been proposed within a portion of the proposed parking area. The grading should be revised to provide paved area with a minimum of 1.5% to avoid ponding.

55. Are new fences and gates proposed?

56. Clarify if new water and gas lines will be required.

57. The location of existing gas meters, water meters and vaults should be shown on the plans.

58. The demolition plan C-200 indicates that the existing electric supply area will be modified, while C-300 indicates to remain. The plans should be revised to include the proposed modifications.

59. No directional signages have been provided. All proposed signs, striping and pavement markings should be provided, including but not limited to stop signs, stop bars, one-way, ingress & egress, no parking, do not enter, fire lane, handicap, etc. The construction details should also be provided.

60. The existing contours on Sheet C-400 should be shown on halftone dashed line.
61. In order to properly review the grading plan, the proposed site conditions should be shown on the Grading and Drainage plan sheet C-3.

62. Verify that the proposed lighting plan complies with the requirements of the City of Elizabeth. The illumination requirements from the City of Elizabeth should be added to the plan.

63. The drainage report should be revised to provide emergency spillway calculations for the proposed subsurface detention systems. The emergency spillway analysis should be based on the 100-year basin inflow runoff and assuming that the principal spillway is malfunctioning and will not allow any discharge or flow.

64. The Stormwater Operations and Maintenance Manual (O&M) should be prepared and submitted for review in accordance with the New Jersey BMP Manual. These documents would be required to be attached to the deed as a rider.

65. The cold in place recycle pavement section detail on Sheet C-4 should be revised to include the thickness of the base course.

66. The subbase course of the standard full depth asphalt pavement section detail on Sheet C-4 should be 6".

67. The proposed manhole cover detail should include the year.

68. Additional details should be provided for the proposed Retain it detention basin and outlet control structure.

69. The proposed Retain it detention basin consists of structures with open bottom and 6" stone base. It appears that stormwater will be infiltrated. Soil test in accordance with NJDEP BMP manual should be submitted.

70. Due to the potential for groundwater contamination, the use of infiltration basins is prohibited in areas of high pollutant or sediment loading is anticipated. Clarification is required concerning the contaminated areas.

71. The roof leader should be connected to the underground drainage system. The roof leader collection system and cleanouts should be shown on the grading and drainage plan. The cleanout riser cover detail should also be added to the plans.

72. The applicant submitted two separate sets of site plans for the project. Many of the information and details that are repeated on both sets of plans are different, i.e. existing topography, Soil Erosion Control Plan, construction details, proposed grading, missing information, etc. The site plans should be combined into one single set of plans. Only the drainage plans should be separated and included in the Stormwater Management Report.

73. The Applicant shall comply with the comments of the City Engineer, dated May 13, 2019.
74. Additional comments may be presented pending receipt of the revised plans and reports. Please submit 3 copies of revised plans and reports along with a point by point response Letter. The response letter shall address all comments and should include the location of the revised items.
September 4, 2019

Mr. Anthony Gallerano, PE  
Harbor Consultants, Inc.  
320 North Avenue East  
Cranford, NJ 07016

Re: Traffic Review  
Spring Street Development Corp.  
Amazon Logistics Delivery Service Facility  
703-727 Spring Street (US Rt. 1 & 9)  
Block 8, Lot 1699.D  
City of Elizabeth, Union Co., NJ

Dear Mr. Gallerano:

At your request on behalf of the Elizabeth Board of Adjustment, the firm of Hamal Associates, Inc. (HMA) has conducted a traffic review of the application documents and site plans (dated Revised July 26, 2019) prepared by Jarmel Kizel (JK), for the above referenced project. As part of our services, we also performed a field inspection of the project area, with photography. No traffic or parking study was provided with the application.

The site is located to the east of US Route 1 & 9 northbound (NB-Spring St) and between, but not fronting on, North Avenue East and Woodruff Lane, in the City of Elizabeth, NJ. The site has access through two (2) drive connections to US Route 1 & 9 NB, but has no other frontage on any roadways, being bounded on all sides by other commercial properties and a State maintenance yard. Immediately west of the site is a retail store located on Block 8, Lot 1699.C, which has frontage on Rt. 1 & 9 NB. This latter lot shares access to/from Rt. 1 & 9 NB with the Applicant’s previously noted highway drives. The current use of the site is for auto related services, such as vehicle sales, servicing and washing and a logistics center.

The application proposal is to lease the site to Amazon Logistics (AL) who will provide service fulfillment of customer orders. As discussed in the application documents, the proposed use involves the 'last mile' of the customer delivery phase. In the early am hours, AL associates will arrive in personal vehicles to the site to pick up their delivery vans. After completion of their deliveries (packages loaded at another off-site location), the vans will return to this site and AL associates leave in their own vehicles.

Based on our review, we have the following comments:

1. The Applicant should discuss the site’s proposed on-site circulation and associated ingress/egress with US Rt. 1 & 9 NB.
2.a. What are the hours and days of operation of the proposed AL use?
   b. What are the time periods of employee arrival (am) and departure (pm)?
   c. How many delivery service employees are anticipated by AL?
   d. How many office employees will AL have?

3. How many delivery vans will be parked on-site and where?

4.a. When AL delivery employees arrive in the am period, what parking spaces will be available to them for parking their cars? Will they temporarily park in an aisle, move their designated van out of its spaces and then move their personal vehicle into the van space? Reverse in the pm return period?
   b. If the van pickup/drop-off parking maneuvers described in Comment 4.a. are proposed, does this affect vehicle circulation through the parking aisles? Applicant to discuss.
   c. Do delivery van employees have to check-in at a central office area in the am/pm? If yes, how does this affect parking and circulation?

5. In addition to Amazon Logistics, are there now or will there be other tenants in the existing buildings? If yes, who are the ‘other’ users and what is the required parking for these ‘other’ tenants and their associated building area(s)?

6. What parking is required/needed for the AL tenant, separate from the AL delivery van storage?

7. Will any of the existing auto related services (sales, servicing, etc.) remain active on-site if the AL application is approved? If yes, how will this use be accommodated on-site?

8. What is the projected am and pm peak hour trip generation for the AL use? Does it occur during the street peak hours or at another hourly period?

9. The site plan identifies 209 proposed parking spaces (including 5 handicapped). Will any other parking be permitted along building faces, property perimeter areas, etc., either in marked or unmarked parking spaces? Our recent field inspection indicated that parking in these type of areas is currently occurring.

10. As the site’s only access is with US Rt. 1 & 9 NB, does the change in use affect the site’s current NJ highway access permit? Is a ‘Letter of No Interest’ to be submitted to the NJDOT, if a new permit is not required?
Mr. Anthony Gallerano

The foregoing represents our traffic review of the subject application. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Hamal Associates, Inc.

[Signature]

Harold K. Maltz, P.E., P.P.
President
TO: Bureau of Planning and Zoning  
FROM: Engineering Division  
SUBJECT: Application Number – Z-05-19 Revised  
703 & R703-727 Spring Street  
Date Revised: 9-27-19  

The Division of Engineering has reviewed the plans submitted for the above-referenced project and has the following comments:

- We disagree with the response to comment number 8 in the Engineering Review: Harbor Consultants Letter dated September 5, 2019 section and comment number 2 in the Division of Engineering Letter dated May 13, 2019 section of the response letter, the intent of NJAC 7:8-5 is to demonstrate compliance with the quantity requirements at each point of discharge, not on a site wide basis.

- Further comment will be provided when the updated Stormwater Management Plans and Report are prepared.

- A stormwater management plan and report for the adjacent property shall be provided.

- An easement will need to be prepared for the proposed North Avenue East access driveway.

DJL
Application for Board Action Planning Board Application # Z-C5-19

Zoning Board Date Filed 4/15/19
Date Revised 9/27/19 Hearing Date 10/20 Received By MRS or MIT

Request for Recommendations
Department Head or Agency Reply Please print comments/initials clearly!

__________________________________________________________________________

New Jersey Department of Transportation Initials ___
County ___ Union County Division of Planning & Development
Municipal ___ City Engineering Division
City ___ Health Department
City Division of Planning & Community Development
Department of Planning & Community Development
Police Department
Construction Bureau
Public Works Department
Recycling Coordinator
Fire Department
Housing Department
SID-BAP/MESE, If applicable

Return to Bureau of Planning & Zoning by ___/___/___, or mail to:
Department of Community Development
Bureau of Planning & Zoning-Room #403
50 Winfield Scott Plaza
Elizabeth, NJ 07201-Attn: Marta Rivera-Sullivan, LUA/Principal Planning Aide

Applicant: Spring St. New Corp. 1703-757 Spring St.
Name Address

Recommended  ✓  Recommended with conditions  □  Not Recommended  □

Comments:

__________________________________________________________________________

Conditions stipulated for approval as follows:

__________________________________________________________________________

Attachments  Yes  □  No  ✓

- Note: If additional space is need for comments, attach additional page (s)
- Please print
- All information must be clearly initialed or signed
Application for Board Action Planning Board Application # Z-05-9
Zoning Board Date Filed 9/15/19
Date Revised Hearing Date Received By
Request for Recommendations
Department Head or Agency Reply Please print comments/initials clearly!
*****************************************************
New Jersey Department of Transportation
County
Union County Division of Planning & Development
Municipal
City Engineering Division
Health Department
Department of Planning & Community Development
Police Department
Construction Bureau
Public Works Department
Recycling Coordinator
Fire Department Director
Housing Department
SID-EAP/MEISD, (If applicable)
*****************************************************
Return to Bureau of Planning & Zoning by ___/___/___, or mail to:
Department of Community Development
Bureau of Planning & Zoning-Room #403
50 Winfield Scott Plaza
Elizabeth, NJ 07201-Attn: Marta Rivera-Sullivan, LUA/Principal Planning Aide

Applicant: Spring Street Dev Corp. 703-797 Spring Street
Name Address
Recommended ___ Recommended with conditions ___ Not Recommended 

Comments:
Currently using it as an Amazon van site - open eave ports
with no municipal approvals after being told it was not an
allowed use.

Conditions stipulated for approval as follows:

Attachments Yes ___ No ___

Note: If additional space is need for comments, attach additional page(s)
Please print
All information must be clearly initialed or signed
Application for Board Action Planning Board  Application # 2-05-19
Zoning Board  Date Filed 9/15/19
Date Revised 9/15/19* Hearing Date 9/20
Received By MRS or MIT

Request for Recommendations
Department Head or Agency Reply  Please print comments initials clearly!

---------------------------------------------------------------

New Jersey Department of Transportation  Initials ______
County ______ Union County Division of Planning & Development ______
Municipal ______ City Engineering Division ______
Health Department ______
Department of Planning & Community Development ______
Police Department ______
X Construction Bureau  PB ZBA by ______
Public Works Department ______
Recycling Coordinator  SEP 3 0 2019
Fire Department Director ______
Housing Department PB/ZBA Office  City Hall, Rm 403
SID-EAP/MEISID, (If applicable) ______

Return to Bureau of Planning & Zoning by ___/___/___, or mail to:
Department of Community Development
Bureau of Planning & Zoning-Room #403
50 Winfield Scott Plaza
Elizabeth, NJ 07201-Attn: Marta Rivera-Sullivan, LUA/Principal Planning Aide

Applicant: Spring Street  Inc.  703-727 Spring Street  
Name ______ Address ______

Recommended  checked  Recommended with conditions  Not Recommended  

Comments:

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Conditions stipulated for approval as follows:

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Attachments  Yes  No

• Note: If additional space is need for comments, attach additional page(s)
• Please print
• All information must be clearly initialed or signed
Application for Board Action Planning Board Application # Z-05-19
Zoning Board X Date Filed 9/15/19
Date Revised 9/15/19 Hearing Date 10/20 Received By MRS or MIT

Request for Recommendations
Department Head or Agency Reply Please print comments/initials clearly!

New Jersey Department of Transportation Initials ___
County __ Union County Division of Planning & Development ___
Municipal ___ City Engineering Division ___
Health Department ___ Department of Planning & Community Development ___
Police Department ___ Construction Bureau ___
Public Works Department ___ Recycling Coordinator ___
Fire Department Director ___ Housing Department ___
SID-EAP/MESID, (If applicable) ___

Return to Bureau of Planning & Zoning by ___/__/20 or mail to:
Department of Community Development
Bureau of Planning & Zoning-Room #403
50 Winfield Scott Plaza
Elizabeth, NJ 07201-Attn: Marta Rivera-Sullivan, LUA/Principal Planning Aide

Applicant: Spring Street Dev. Corp. / 703-727 Spring Street
Name Address

Recommended [X] Recommended with conditions ___ Not Recommended ___

Comments:

Conditions stipulated for approval as follows:

Attachments Yes ___ No ___

Note: If additional space is needed for comments, attach additional page(s)
Please print
All information must be clearly initialed or signed